

Hornsea Project Four

Statement of Common Ground between Hornsea Project Four and East Riding of Yorkshire Council

Deadline 1, Date: 08 March 2022 Document Reference: F3.1

Revision: 02

Prepared Orsted, February 2022 Checked Orsted, February 2022

Accepted Thomas Watts, Orsted, March 2022
Approved Julian Carolan, Orsted, March 2022

Doc Ref No. F3.1 Version B



Revision History

Date	Version	Reason for issue	
09/06/2020	i	1st draft template for East Riding of Yorkshire Council (ERYC)	
10/11/2020	ii	2 nd draft template updated to inform DCO deliverable reviews	
15/01/2021	iii	3 rd draft template updated and inputted positions for Historic Environment and Air Quality	
13/08/2021	iiii	4 th draft for input requests from ERYC and sign off prior to DCO application submission.	
24/09/2021	А	Draft version for DCO submission.	
08/03/2022	02	Updated draft version submitted at Deadline 1. New agreements added, awaiting positions from ERYC.	

Signatories

Signed	[Insert signature]	
Name		
Position		
For	East Riding of Yorkshire Council	
Signed	[Insert signature]	
Name		
Position		
For	Orsted Hornsea Project Four Limited	



Table of Contents

1	Introdu	ction	6
	1.1	Reason for this document	6
	1.2	Approach to SoCG	6
	1.3	Application elements under the ERYC remit	7
	1.4	Overview of Hornsea Four	7
2	Consul	tation	8
	2.1	Summary of consultation with ERYC	8
3	Onshor	e Agreement Log	15
	3.1	Overview	15
	3.1.2	General	15
	3.1.3	Draft DCO	16
	3.1.4	Geology and Ground Conditions	17
	3.1.5	Hydrology and Flood Risk	19
	3.1.6	Ecology and Nature Conservation	22
	3.1.7	Landscape and Visual	24
	3.1.8	Historic Environment	26
	3.1.9	Land Use and Agriculture	27
	3.1.10	Traffic and Transport	29
	3.1.11	Noise and Vibration	32
	3.1.12	Air Quality	34
	3.1.13	Socio-economics	36
1	Summo		37



List of Tables

Table 1: Summary of pre-application consultation with ERYC	8
Table 2: Agreement Log: General	15
Table 3: Agreement Log: Draft DCO	16
Table 4: Agreement Log: Geology and Ground Conditions	17
Table 5: Agreement Log: Hydrology and Flood Risk	19
Table 6: Agreement Log: Ecology and Nature Conservation	22
Table 7: Agreement Log: Landscape and Visual	24
Table 8: Agreement Log: Historic Environment	26
Table 9: Agreement Log: Land Use and Agriculture	27
Table 10: Agreement Log: Traffic and Transport	29
Table 11: Agreement Log: Noise and Vibration	32
Table 12: Agreement Log: Air Quality	34
Table 13: Agreement Log: Socio-economics	36



Glossary

Term	Definition
Development Consent	An order made under the Planning Act 2008 granting development consent
Order (DCO)	for one or more Nationally Significant Infrastructure Projects (NSIP).
Hornsea Project Four	The term covers all elements of the project (i.e. both the offshore and
Offshore Wind Farm	onshore). Hornsea Four infrastructure will include offshore generating
	stations (wind turbines), electrical export cables to landfall, and connection
	to the electricity transmission network. Hereafter referred to as Hornsea
	Four.

Acronyms

Acronym	Definition	
CEA	Cumulative Effects Assessment	
DCO	Development Consent Order	
ECC	Export Cable Corridor	
EIA	Environmental Impact Assessment	
ES	Environmental Statement	
ExA	Examining Authority	
HVAC	High Voltage Alternating Current	
HVDC	High Voltage Direct Current	
LSE	Likely Significant Effect	
MHWS	Mean High Water Springs	
MLWS	Mean Low Water Springs	
SoCG	Statement of Common Ground	
OnSS	Onshore substation	
PEIR	Preliminary Environmental Information Report	
UK	United Kingdom	



1 Introduction

1.1 Reason for this document

- 1.1.1.1 This Statement of Common Ground (SoCG) has been prepared between Orsted Hornsea Project Four Limited ('the Applicant') and East Riding of Yorkshire Council (ERYC) to set out the areas of agreement and disagreement between the two parties in relation to the proposed Development Consent Order (DCO) application for the Hornsea Project Four offshore wind farm (hereafter referred to as 'Hornsea Four').
- 1.1.1.2 This SoCG covers the following topics:
 - General (Section 3.1.2)
 - Draft DCO (Section 3.1.3)
 - Geology and Ground Conditions (Section 3.1.4)
 - Hydrology and Flood Risk (Section 3.1.5)
 - Ecology and Nature Conservation (Section 3.1.6)
 - Landscape and Visual (Section 3.1.7)
 - Historic Environment (Section 3.1.8)
 - Land Use and Agriculture (Section 3.1.9)
 - Traffic and Transport (Section 3.1.10)
 - Noise and Vibration (Section 3.1.11)
 - Air Quality (Section 3.1.12)
 - Socio-economic (Section 3.1.13)
- 1.1.1.3 The need for a SoCG between the Applicant and ERYC is set out within the Rule 6 letter issued by the Planning Inspectorate.
- 1.1.1.4 It is the intention that this document will facilitate further discussions between the Applicant and ERYC and will provide PINS with a clear overview of the level of common ground between both parties. This document will be updated throughout the application process.

1.2 Approach to SoCG

1.2.1.1 The Applicant took the decision at an early stage to adopt a proportionate approach to Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) for Hornsea Four which is detailed and integrated throughout the application for development consent. The Impacts Register (Volume A4, Annex 5.1: Impacts Register (APP-049)) is a key tool that details all potential impacts identified for Hornsea Four and sets the scope of the EIA at various stages of the project (Scoping, Preliminary Environmental Information Report (PEIR) and DCO). In line with the Applicants approach to proportionality, only Likely Significant Effects (LSE) are included within the individual topic assessments of the Environmental Statement (ES).



- 1.2.1.2 The structure of this SoCG is as follows:
 - Section 1: Introduction;
 - Section 2: Consultation;
 - Section 3: Onshore Agreement Log; and
 - Section 4: Summary.

1.3 Application elements under the ERYC remit

1.3.1.1 The elements of Hornsea Four which may affect the interests of ERYC are work numbers 6 to 10, onshore. These are detailed in Part 1 (Authorised Development) of Schedule 1 (Authorised Project) of the draft DCO (C1.1: Draft DCO (APP-203)). ERYC is identified as the "relevant planning authority" in the draft DCO and will be responsible for the approval of a number of plans and strategies pre-construction of relevant parts of the connection works for Hornsea Four.

1.4 Overview of Hornsea Four

- 1.4.1.1 Hornsea Four is an offshore wind farm which will be located approximately 69 km offshore the East Riding of Yorkshire in the Southern North Sea and will be the fourth project to be developed in the former Hornsea Zone. Hornsea Four will include both offshore and onshore infrastructure and consists of:
 - **Hornsea Four array area:** This is where the offshore wind generating station will be located which will include the turbines, array cables, offshore accommodation platforms and a range of offshore substations as well as offshore interconnector cables and export cables;
 - Hornsea Four offshore export cable corridor (ECC): This is where the permanent
 offshore electrical infrastructure (offshore export cables, as well as the High Voltage
 Alternating Current (HVAC) booster station (if required), will be located;
 - Hornsea Four intertidal area: This is the area between Mean High Water Springs
 (MHWS) and Mean Low Water Springs (MLWS) through which all of the offshore export
 cables will be installed;
 - **Hornsea Four onshore export cable corridor:** This is where the permanent onshore electrical cable infrastructure will be located; and
 - Hornsea Four onshore substation (OnSS) including energy balancing infrastructure:
 This is where the permanent onshore electrical substation infrastructure (onshore High Voltage Direct Current (HVDC) converter/HVAC substation, energy balancing infrastructure and connections to the National Grid) will be located.



2 Consultation

2.1 Summary of consultation with ERYC

2.1.1.1 **Table 1** summarises the consultation that the Applicant has undertaken with ERYC during the pre-application and post-application phases. In addition, a number of Position Statements and draft documents (including the impacts Register (APP-049)) have been issued throughout the per-application stage of Hornsea Four, for review and comment.

Table 1: Summary of pre-application consultation with ERYC.

Date	Form of	Statutory/Non	Summary
	consultation	Statutory	
25/04/2018	Meeting	Non Statutory	Hornsea Project Four – Project Update #1
			Meeting to introduce Hornsea Four and the project team from
			Hornsea Four and ERYC.
22/06/2018	Meeting	Non Statutory	Hornsea Project Four – Project Update #2
			Meeting to provide a project update, discuss the terms of the
			planning performance agreement (PPA), draft Statement of
			Community Consultation (SoCC), local information events,
			community access points and local interest groups.
07/08/2018	Meeting	Non Statutory	Hornsea Project Four - Evidence Plan Steering Group #1
11/09/2018	Meeting	Non Statutory	Hornsea Project Four - Historic Environment Evidence Plan
			Technical Panel meeting #1
			Meeting to introduce Hornsea Four, the consenting
			programme, evidence plan process and the proportionate
			approach to EIA. An overview of historic environment work
			undertaken to date was provided, including scoping and
			approach to baseline. Assessment methodology, route
			planning and site selection was also discussed.
12/09/2018	Meeting	Non Statutory	Hornsea Project Four - Water and Flood Risk Evidence Plan
			Technical Panel meeting #1
12/09/2018	Meeting	Non Statutory	Hornsea Project Four - Onshore Ecology Evidence Plan
			Technical Panel meeting #1
15/10/2018	Consultation	Statutory	Hornsea Project Four Offshore Wind Farm Scoping Report
21/11/2018	Meeting	Non Statutory	Hornsea Project Four – Project Update #3
			Meeting to provide project update and summary of informal
			consultation events. The OnSS site selection process was
			discussed, identifying 'zones', and access from the A1079.



Date	Form of	Statutory/Non	Summary
	consultation	Statutory	
			Discussion held regarding A63 Castle Street Improvement
			scheme and A164/Jock's Lodge Improvement scheme.
12/12/2018	Meeting	Non Statutory	Hornsea Project Four - Evidence Plan Steering Group #2
07/01/2019	Meeting	Non Statutory	Hornsea Project Four - Human Environment Evidence Plan Technical Panel meeting #1
			Meeting to introduce Hornsea Four, the consenting programme, route planning and site selection. The foundations of the traffic and transport (including non-motorised users and public rights of way (PRoW)), noise and vibration and air quality assessments were discussed, including scope, assessment methodology
08/01/2019	Meeting	Non Statutory	Hornsea Project Four - Onshore Ecology Evidence Plan Technical Panel meeting #2
15/01/2019	Meeting	Non Statutory	Hornsea Project Four — Water and Flood Risk Evidence Plan Technical Panel meeting #2
			Meeting to provide project updates, and an overview of the survey methodology and preliminary results obtained from ongoing surveys. Discussion on Scoping responses received by the project, accompanied by a discussion on the next steps for the PEIR and ES assessments.
16/01/2019	Meeting	Non Statutory	Hornsea Project Four - Historic Environment Evidence Plan Technical Panel meeting #2
			Meeting to provide Hornsea Four update, recap of the EIA scoping report and approach to EIA proportionality. Route planning and site selection was discussed in relation to historic environment assets. Scoping opinions received were discussed, and necessary next steps, including survey and assessment work. Confirmation of the approach to assessment in respect of temporary logistics compounds, onshore substation ZTVs, and non-designated assets. Discussion regarding the WWII defences within the landfall search area in addition to effects on below-ground assets from changes to drainage patterns.
22/01/2019	Consultation response	Statutory	Scoping Opinion – Late Scoping Consultation Response from ERYC
			Providing comments on the Scoping Report.
02/04/2019	Meeting	Non Statutory	Hornsea Project Four Historic Environment Evidence Plan
			Technical Panel meeting #3



			Marking to a social allowers for a social field of
			Meeting to provide a Hornsea Four update (including redline
			boundary changes since EIA scoping and OnSS site selection)
			and an overview of the consultation process. Survey
			methodology, baseline characterisation and locally
			significant historic environment receptors were discussed.
			Land access difficulties was raised that had prevented Geophysical survey effort.
05/04/2019	Mooting	Non Statutory	Hornsea Project Four Water and Flood Risk Evidence Plan
03/04/2019	Meeting	Non-Statutory	Technical Panel meeting #3
			Meeting to provide project updates, Hornsea Fours
			proportionate EIA, further evidence base to scope out
			impacts where consensus had not been reached with
			stakeholders, as well as the next steps to seeking consensus
			with stakeholders on the approach to the PEIR.
08/04/2019	Meeting	Non Statutory	Hornsea Project Four Onshore Ecology Evidence Plan
			Technical Panel meeting #3
			Meeting to provide project updates, Hornsea Fours
			proportionate EIA, further evidence base to scope out
			impacts where consensus had not been reached with
			stakeholders, as well as the next steps to seeking consensus
01/05/2019	Meeting	Non Statutory	with stakeholders on the approach to the PEIR. Hornsea Project Four — EIA Proportionality Roadshow
			, , , , ,
			Meeting to discuss the approach to EIA proportionality. The
			meeting also covered ERYC's and the Applicant's prior
			experience of community benefit funds.
01/05/2019	Meeting	Non Statutory	Hornsea Project Four Human Environment Evidence Plan
			Technical Panel meeting #2
			Meeting to provide a Hornsea Four update (including redline
			boundary changes since EIA scoping and OnSS site selection)
			and an overview of the consultation process. The
			identification of PRoWs and subsequent effects was
			discussed, including the permanent diversion at the OnSS site
			and future coast path and landfall. Assessment methodology
			associated with traffic and transport was discussed and
			agreed, including the study area, approach to baseline
			characterisation, origin of HGVs and distribution of
			employees, and the use of DMRB compliant generic access
			designs.



Date	Form of	Statutory/Non	Summary
	consultation	Statutory	
			Meeting to coordinate handover of EDVC personnel discuss
			Meeting to coordinate handover of ERYC personnel, discuss
			project update, overview of PEIR submission documents,
			overview of the formal consultation process and agreement
05/0//0010	6 11 11	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	to hold PEIR drop in session for ERYC technical officers.
25/06/2019	Consultation	Non Statutory	Hornsea Project Four - Evidence Plan Steering Group #3
27/05/2019	Meeting	Non Statutory	Hornsea Project Four — Energy Balancing Infrastructure
			Meeting to discuss EBI and Grid Systems: background,
			national and local balancing, Offshore wind and EBI.
27/06/2019	Meeting	Non Statutory	Hornsea Project Four – Water and Flood Risk Technical
2770072019	riceting	Nonstatutory	Panel meeting #4
			Tallet life tally in 4
			Meeting to provide project updates, further evidence base to
			scope out impacts where consensus had not been reached
			with stakeholders, and to present an update on how to read
			the Hornsea Four proportionate PEIR.
09/07/2019	Meeting	Non Statutory	Hornsea Project Four – Ecology Evidence Plan Technical
			Panel meeting #4
			Meeting to provide project updates, further evidence base to
			scope out impacts where consensus had not been reached
			with stakeholders, and to present an update on how to read
			the Hornsea Four proportionate PEIR.
13/08/2019	Consultation	Statutory	Hornsea Project Four PEIR
			Published for statutory Section 42 consultation.
03/09/2019	Meeting	Non Statutory	Hornsea Project Four — PEIR Drop in Session
			Attended by core technical staff from ERYC in a 'drop in'
			format, allowing any questions to be asked about the
			Hornsea Four PEIR and requirements for Section 42
			consultation. The relevant application documents were
			highlighted for each respective technical attendee, to ensure
			acknowledgement and awareness prior to completing .
27/00/2010	Camandhadian	Ctt · t - ··· ·	review.
23/09/2019	Consultation	Statutory	ERYC letter response to PEIR
	response		Drayiding comments on the DEID
24/00/2010	Mantin	Nam Challan	Providing comments on the PEIR.
24/09/2019	Meeting	Non Statutory	Hornsea Project Four — OnSS Workshop #1
			Workshop to present and discuss multiple technical topic
			areas of relevance to the OnSS (traffic and transport,
			hydrology and flood risk, local heritage, ecology and public



Date	Form of	Statutory/Non	Summary
	consultation	Statutory	
			rights of way. Site selection and access to the OnSS site was
			also discussed
			EDVC's countryside access team were in attendance
02/10/2019	Maatina	Non Statuton	ERYC's countryside access team were in attendance.
02/10/2019	Meeting	Non Statutory	Hornsea Project Four – Highway Engineer Team Workshop
			Meeting to provide project update and present and discuss
			the approach to the traffic and transport assessment
			presented at PEIR. Additionally, all construction access points
			were reviewed on an online map to obtain the views and
			agreement from ERYC that the access locations and strategy
			was appropriate. The routeing of abnormal loads for the
			OnSS was also discussed.
29/10/2019	Meeting	Non Statutory	Hornsea Project Four - Human Environment Evidence Plan
			Technical Panel meeting #3
			Meeting to discuss PRoWs and cycle routes with ERYC.
05/11/2019	Meeting	Non Statutory	Hornsea Project Four – Water and Flood Risk Technical
			Panel meeting #5
			Meeting to provide project updates since the submission of
			the PEIR and the close of the 2019 Section 42 consultation.
			Summary and Hornsea Fours initial responses to key Section
			42 comments received, and to seek consensus on the
			approach to the ES.
06/11/2019	Meeting	Non Statutory	Hornsea Project Four - Evidence Plan Steering Group #4
04/11/2019	Meeting	Non Statutory	Hornsea Project Four - Human Environment Evidence Plan
			Technical Panel meeting #4
			Discussion on core assumptions associated with the Traffic
			and Transport and the associated impacts upon the Air
			Quality assessment presented in the Preliminary
			Environmental Impact Report (PEIR) for Hornsea Four.
13/11/2019	Meeting	Non Statutory	Hornsea Project Four – Ecology Evidence Plan Technical
			Panel meeting #5
			Meeting to provide project updates since the submission of
			the PEIR and the close of the 2019 Section 42 consultation.
			Summary and Hornsea Fours initial responses to key Section
			42 comments received and to seek consensus on the
			approach to the ES.
10/12/2019		Non Statutory	Hornsea Project Four – Draft DCO Meeting



Date	Form of consultation	Statutory/Non Statutory	Summary
	Jonnattation	Judiacory	Meeting to run through the draft DCO, identifying the section
			of most relevance to ERYC. Discussion around the role and
			requirements of ERYC to inform the draft DCO, and the
			programme for how input will be best integrated.
17/02/2020	Consultation	Statutory	Further Statutory Section 42 consultation
			Published by the Applicant for comments.
16/03/2020	Meeting	Non Statutory	Hornsea Project Four - Evidence Plan Steering Group #5
15/04/2020	Draft	Non Statutory	Draft Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan issued
	documents		for review
29/04/2020	Meeting	Non Statutory	Hornsea Project Four — Outline Construction Traffic
			Management Plan
			Meeting to discuss the previously distributed draft Outline
			Construction Traffic Management Plan with ERYC.
10/06/2020	Draft documents	Non Statutory	Draft DCO wording issued for review
			Draft wording for Schedule 1, Part 3 (process of discharging
			conditions) issued to ERYC for review and comment.
02/10/2020	Draft	Non Statutory	Draft Outline Public Right of Way Management Plan issued
	documents		for review
06/10/2020	Meeting	Non Statutory	Hornsea Project Four - Evidence Plan Steering Group #6
26/10/2020	Meeting	Non Statutory	Catch up to discuss A164/Jocks Lodge Interaction, Locking
			Parish comments, DCO programme, SoCG and draft
			document reviews.
30/10/2020	Draft documents	Non Statutory	Draft ES Chapters issued for review
			The Historic Environment, Noise and Vibration and Air Quality
			draft ES chapters were issued to ERYC for review and
			comment (along with accompanying Impacts Register tabs
			and Commitment Register), to inform individual conference
			calls to provide an opportunity to ask questions and discuss.
09/11/2020	Email	Non Statutory	Email with updated A1079 access design for review and comment.
19-	Meetings	Non Statutory	Meetings held to discuss and facilitate the review of the
20/11/2020			Historic Environment, Noise and Vibration and Air Quality
			draft ES Chapters issued for review.
04/12/2020	Draft	Non Statutory	Draft ES Chapters issued for review
	documents		



Date	Form of consultation	Statutory/Non Statutory	Summary
			The Geology and Ground Conditions, Hydrology and Flood
			Risk, Traffic and Transport draft ES chapters were issued to
			ERYC for review and comment (along with accompanying
			Impacts Register tabs and Commitment Register), to inform
			individual conference calls to provide an opportunity to ask
			questions and discuss.
18/12/2020	Draft documents	Non Statutory	OnSS updated access junction issued for agreement
			The updated access design off the A1079 was distributed,
			with an independent safety audit for sign off by ERYC.
10/05/2021	Meeting	Non Statutory	Project catch up, including project update, discussions
			regarding digital consultation, baseline validity, Lockington
			Parish comments and draft document reviews to inform the
			SoCG.
11/05/2021	Meeting	Non Statutory	Meeting to discuss the interaction between Hornsea Four's
			onshore ECC access off the A164 and the A164/Jocks Lodge
			Highways Improvement scheme. Recommendation from
			ERYC during meeting to move access point.
14/05/2021	Position	Non Statutory	Baseline data validity position papers issued via email for
	papers		Noise and Vibration, Onshore Ecology, Traffic and Transport,
			Air Quality.
25/05/2021	Email	Non Statutory	Updated access design off the A164 issued for review and
			comment further to the meeting held on 11/05/2021.
13/07/2021	Meeting	Non Statutory	Hornsea Project Four - Evidence Plan Steering Group #7
21/02/2022	Meeting	Non-Statutory	Meeting to discuss the LIR programme and SoCG.



3 Onshore Agreement Log

3.1 Overview

- 3.1.1.1 The following sections of this SoCG set out the level of agreement between the parties for each relevant onshore topic (as identified in paragraph 1.1.1.3). In order to easily identify whether a matter is 'agreed' or 'not agreed', a colour coding system of green and orange is used respectively within the 'position' column.
- 3.1.1.2 The following section of this SoCG summaries the level of agreement between Hornsea Four and ERYC on all relevant matters landward of MHWS.

3.1.2 General

Table 2: Agreement Log: General.

ID	Statement on which agreement is sought	Position	Commentary
G3.1: 1.1	There is a specific need to provide renewable energy, which is in line with government policy.	Agreed	Agreed with ERYC and the Applicant.
G3.1: 1.2	The Applicant has adequately consulted with ERYC throughout all stages of the project to date and the summary of Consultation (Section 2 of this SoCG) is a fair and accurate record of pre-application consultation.	Area for ongoing discussion	Agreed but accept that there are still ongoing discussions. The way these discussions with ERYC have been recorded in the SoCG is a very thorough and accurate record.
G3.1: 1.3	The site selection and route refinement outlined in Volume A1, Chapter 3: Site Selection and Consideration of Alternatives (APP-009) has properly considered the alternatives for the relevant elements of Hornsea Four (landfall, onshore ECC and OnSS).	Agreed	ERYC consultees have discussed the landfall arrangements and we are satisfied with the locations/development configurations etc.
G3.1: 1.4	The selection of the OnSS site is appropriate and was discussed and agreed with ERYC through the preapplication consultation process.	Agreed	Agreed with ERYC and the Applicant.
G3.1: 1.5	The Applicant's approach to proportionate EIA has been discussed with ERYC and produces an Environment Statement that accords with the Infrastructure Planning (Environmental Impact Assessment) Regulations 2017	Agreed	ERYC have agreed that the ES should take a proportionate approach to the issues that needed to be addressed. ERYC can confirm that the ES accords with the Infrastructure Planning (Environmental Impact



ID	Statement on which agreement is sought	Position	Commentary
			Assessment) Regulations
			2017.
G3.1:	The Applicant and ERYC have discussed potential	Agreed	Agreed with ERYC and
1.6	interaction between Hornsea Four and the A164 / Jock's		the Applicant.
	Lodge Highway Improvement Scheme. This has resulted		
	in Hornsea Four amending the access location off the		
	A1079, and the updated access location and access		
	design are considered appropriate.		
G3.1:	The design of the OnSS, as presented in F2.13: Outline	TBC	Awaiting position from
1.7	Design Plan (APP-248) is considered appropriate and		ERYC
	reflects good quality design standards for the onshore		
	aboveground infrastructure.		
G3.1:	EBI safety is satisfactorily considered and F2.12: Outline	TBC	Awaiting position from
1.8	Energy Balancing Infrastructure HazID Report (APP-247)		ERYC
	provides the framework for safety measures to be		
	secured and agreed with ERYC prior to construction.		
G3.1:	Volume A2, Chapter 1: Marine Geology, Oceanography	TBC	Awaiting position from
1.9	and Physical Processes (APP-013) accurately and		ERYC
	adequately assesses potential impacts and identifies that		
	no significant effects will occur as a result of Hornsea		
	Four.		

3.1.3 Draft DCO

Table 3: Agreement Log: Draft DCO.

ID	Statement on which agreement is sought	Position	Commentary
G3.1:	The Principal Powers (namely the development consent	TBC	Awaiting position from
2.1	granted by the Order, power to construct and maintain		ERYC
	the authorised project, benefit of the order and		
	application, modification of legislative provisions,		
	defence to proceedings in respect of statutory nuisance)		
	as set out in Part 2 of C1.1: Draft Development Consent		
	Order (APP-203) are appropriate.		
G3.1:	Works associated with Streets as set out in Part 3 of	TBC	Awaiting position from
2.2	C1.1: Draft Development Consent Order (APP-203) are		ERYC
	appropriate.		
	The streets included within Schedule 2 and Schedule 3		
	are accurate and appropriate.		
G3.1:	Works associated with Public Rights of Ways as set out in	TBC	Awaiting position from
2.3	Part 3 of C1.1: Draft Development Consent Order (APP-		ERYC
	203) are appropriate.		



ID	Statement on which agreement is sought	Position	Commentary
	The Public Rights of Way included within Schedule 4		
	(subject to temporary stopping up, permanent diversion,		
	temporary diversions and temporary suspension) are		
	accurate and appropriate.		
G3.1:	Access to works as set out in Schedule 5 of C1.1: Draft	TBC	Awaiting position from
2.4	Development Consent Order (APP-203) is accurate and		ERYC
	appropriate.		
G3.1:	The Authorised Project set out in Schedule 1, Part 1 in	TBC	Awaiting position from
2.5	C1.1: Draft Development Consent Order (APP-203)		ERYC
	(namely Work No, 6-10, where relevant to ERYC) is		
	appropriate.		
G3.1:	Requirements set out in Schedule 1, Part 3 of C1.1: Draft	TBC	Awaiting position from
2.6	Development Consent Order (APP-203) are		ERYC
	appropriately worded to secure necessary mitigation		
	measures (namely Requirements 1, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12,		
	13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 24, 25, 26, 27 and		
	30, where relevant to ERYC). The staged approach to		
	discharge is considered appropriate.		
G3.1:	Details set out regarding the procedure for discharge of	TBC	Awaiting position from
2.7	requirements (such as necessary timeframes associated		ERYC
	with ERYC decisions) in Schedule 1, Part 4 of C1.1: Draft		
	Development Consent Order (APP-203) are appropriate.		
G3.1:	Hedgerows set out in Schedule 10 in C1.1: Draft	TBC	Awaiting position from
2.8	Development Consent Order (APP-203) are accurate		ERYC
	and appropriate.		

3.1.4 Geology and Ground Conditions

Table 4: Agreement Log: Geology and Ground Conditions.

ID	Statement on which agreement is sought	Position	Commentary
EIA – Po	olicy and planning		
G3.1:	Volume A3, Chapter 1: Geology and Ground Conditions	ТВС	Awaiting position from
3.1	(APP-025) has identified all relevant plans and policies		ERYC
	and appropriate consideration has been given to them in		
	the assessment.		
EIA – Bo	aseline Environment		
G3.1:	The ES adequately defines the baseline environment	TBC	Awaiting position from
3.2	relevant to Geology and Ground Conditions in Section		ERYC
	1.7; Volume A3, Chapter 1: Geology and Ground		
	Conditions (APP-025) to inform the EIA.		
EIA – A	ssessment Methodology		
G3.1:	The study areas identified in Section 1.5 of Volume A3,	TBC	Awaiting position from
3.3	Chapter 1: Geology and Ground Conditions (APP-025)		ERYC
	are appropriate.		



ID	Statement on which agreement is sought	Position	Commentary
G3.1: 3.4	The maximum design scenarios identified and outlined, where relevant, for each impact in Section 1.9 of Volume A3, Chapter 1: Geology and Ground Conditions (APP-025), and in the 'Geology and Ground Conditions' tab of Volume A4, Annex 5.1: Impacts Register (APP-049), represent the maximum project parameters for assessment.	TBC	Awaiting position from ERYC
G3.1: 3.5	The potential impacts identified in Table 1.7 and Section 1.11 of Volume A3, Chapter 1: Geology and Ground Conditions (APP-025), and in the 'Geology and Ground Conditions' tab of Volume A4, Annex 5.1: Impacts Register (APP-049), represent a comprehensive list of the potential impacts.	ТВС	Awaiting position from ERYC
G3.1: 3.6	The methodologies used in Section 1.10 of Volume A3, Chapter 1: Geology and Ground Conditions (APP-025) are appropriate for assessing the potential impacts of Hornsea Four.	ТВС	Awaiting position from ERYC
EIA – As. G3.1: 3.7	The conclusions that no LSE was identified at Scoping (or during subsequent correspondence with geology and ground conditions stakeholders) for impacts GGC-C-1 (damage to designated geological SSSIs), GGC-C-2 (indirect effects on designated geological SSSIs), GGC-C-6 (soil compaction), GGC-C/O-9 (accidental spills), and GGC-D-10 (decommissioning), and not being significant in EIA terms, which resulted in these potential impacts being 'Scoped out' of further assessment or 'not considered in detail in the ES', is appropriate.	TBC	Awaiting position from ERYC
G3.1: 3.8	The conclusion that no LSE was identified for GGC-O-3 (sterilisation of future mineral resources), GGC-C-7 (dewatering of trenches and excavations), ENC-C-8 (physical intrusion into groundwater resource), GGC-C-11 (impacts on groundwater resources) at PEIR, and not being significant in EIA terms, and were therefore not considered in detail in the ES, is appropriate.	TBC	Awaiting position from ERYC
G3.1: 3.9	The conclusion that impacts GGC-C-4 (exposure of workforce to health impacts), GGC-C-5 (encountering contamination during intrusive works), assessed within Volume A3, Chapter 1: Geology and Ground Conditions (APP-025) are not considered to be significant in EIA	TBC	Awaiting position from ERYC
	terms is appropriate when considered alongside the commitments in Table 1.8 and where relevant, identified further mitigation measures.		



ID	Statement on which agreement is sought	Position	Commentary
	effects in Section 1.14 of Volume A3, Chapter 1: Geology		
	and Ground Conditions (APP-025), are appropriate.		
Draft Do	CO / Outline Management Plans / Mitigation and Monitoring		
G3.1:	Requirement 14 of the draft DCO (C1.1: Draft DCO (APP-	TBC	Awaiting position from
3.11	203)) is sufficient to secure the mitigation measures		ERYC
	associated with contaminated land and groundwater.		
G3.1:	F2.2 Outline Code of Construction Practice (APP-237)	TBC	Awaiting position from
3.12	includes all relevant mitigation measures specified in		ERYC
	Volume A3, Chapter 1: Geology and Ground Conditions		
	(APP-025) and is appropriate for managing construction		
	impacts from Hornsea Four on geology and ground		
	conditions receptors landward of MLWS.		
	Volume A4, Annex 5.2: Commitments Register (APP-		
	050) includes a commitment (Co124) to produce a CoCP		
	in accordance with the Outline CoCP which is secured via		
	Requirement 17 of C1.1: Draft Development Consent		
	Order (APP-203).		

3.1.5 Hydrology and Flood Risk

Table 5: Agreement Log: Hydrology and Flood Risk.

ID	Statement on which agreement is sought	Position	Commentary
EIA – P	olicy and planning		
G3.1:	Volume A3, Chapter 2: Hydrology and Flood Risk (APP-	TBC	Awaiting position from
4.1	026) has identified all relevant plans and policies and		ERYC
	appropriate consideration has been given to them in the		
	assessment.		
EIA – B	aseline Environment		
G3.1:	The ES adequately defines the baseline environment	TBC	Awaiting position from
4.2	relevant to Hydrology and Flood Risk in Section 2.7,		ERYC
	Volume A3, Chapter 2: Hydrology and Flood Risk (APP-		
	026), to inform the EIA.		
EIA – A	ssessment Methodology		
G3.1:	The study areas identified in Section 2.5 of Volume A3,	TBC	Awaiting position from
4.3	Chapter 2: Hydrology and Flood Risk (APP-026) are		ERYC
	appropriate.		
G3.1:	The maximum design scenarios identified and outlined,	TBC	Awaiting position from
4.4	where relevant, for each impact in Section 2.9 of Volume		ERYC
	A3, Chapter 2: Hydrology and Flood Risk (APP-026), and		
	in the 'Hydrology and Flood Risk' tab of Volume A4,		
	Annex 5.1: Impacts Register (APP-049), represent the		
	maximum project parameters for assessment.		



ID	Statement on which agreement is sought	Position	Commentary
G3.1:	The potential impacts identified in Table 2.9 of Volume	TBC	Awaiting position from
1.5	A3, Chapter 2: Hydrology and Flood Risk (APP-026), and		ERYC
	in the 'Hydrology and Flood Risk' tab of Volume A4,		
	Annex 5.1: Impacts Register (APP-049), represent a		
	comprehensive list of the potential impacts.		
33.1:	The methodologies used in Section 2.10 of Volume A3,	TBC	Awaiting position from
.6	Chapter 2: Hydrology and Flood Risk (APP-026) are		ERYC
	appropriate for assessing the potential impacts of		
	Hornsea Four.		
IA – As	ssessment Conclusions		
3.1:	The conclusion that no LSE was identified at Scoping (or	TBC	Awaiting position from
.7	during subsequent correspondence with hydrology and		ERYC
	flood risk stakeholders) for impacts HFR-C-1 (disturbance		
	from cable crossings of Main Rivers and IDB		
	watercourses), HFR-C-3 (disturbance from cable		
	crossings of minor drainage ditches), HFR-C-5 (disruption		
	of local land drainage), HFR-C-6 (changes in water		
	quality, construction), HFR-O-7 (alteration in run-off		
	characteristics at onshore substation), HFR-C-8		
	(mobilisation of pollutants), HFR-D-9 (decommissioning		
	onshore ECC), HFR-D-10 (impacts associated with		
	decommissioning onshore substation), HFR-O-11		
	(impacts associated with operation) and not being		
	significant in EIA terms, which resulted in these potential		
	impacts being 'Scoped out' of further assessment or 'not		
	considered in detail in the ES', is appropriate.		
33.1:	The conclusion that no LSE was identified for impacts	ТВС	Awaiting position from
.8	HFR-C-12 (hydrological and water quality effects on	120	ERYC
.0	designated sites) and HFR-O-13 (thermal impacts on		ERTO
	water resources) (both not identified at Scoping), and not		
	being significant in EIA terms, resulted in these potential		
	impacts being not considered in detail in the PEIR or ES.		
	This is appropriate.		
3.1:	The conclusion that no LSE was identified for HFR-C-2	TBC	Awaiting position from
.9	(access across watercourses) and HFR-C-4 (access across	150	ERYC
. 7	minor drainage ditches) at PEIR, and not being significant		LICIC
	in EIA terms, and were therefore not considered in detail		
	in the ES, is appropriate.		
 33.1:		TBC	Avaiting position from
.10	The assessment of potential effects on Hydrology and	IBC	Awaiting position from ERYC
.10	Flood Risk in Volume A3, Chapter 2: Hydrology and		ERIC
	Flood Risk (APP-026) is appropriate and proportionate		
	and identifies the likely significant effects from Hornsea		
.7.1	Four.	TDC	A
53.1:	The conclusions of the CEA on hydrology and flood risk	TBC	Awaiting position from
1.11	presented in Section 2.12 and inter-related effects in		ERYC



ID	Statement on which agreement is sought	Position	Commentary
	Section 2.14 of Volume A3, Chapter 2: Hydrology and		
	Flood Risk (APP-026), are appropriate.		
Draft E	OCO / Outline Management Plans / Mitigation and Monitoring		
G3.1:	The measures described in F2.6: Outline Onshore	TBC	Awaiting position from
4.12	Infrastructure Drainage Strategy (APP-241) are		ERYC
	appropriate and adequately mitigate likely significant		
	effects where possible.		
	F2.6 Outline Onshore Infrastructure Drainage Strategy		
	(APP-241) includes all relevant mitigation measures		
	specified in Volume A3, Chapter 2: Hydrology and Flood		
	Risk (APP-026) and is appropriate for managing		
	construction and post construction impacts from		
	Hornsea Four on hydrology and flood risk receptors		
	landward of MLWS.		
	Volume A4, Annex 5.2: Commitments Register (APP-		
	050) includes commitments (Co14, Co19, Co191) to		
	produce a strategy in accordance with the outline		
	strategy which is secured via Requirement 13 and 15 of		
	C1.1: Draft Development Consent Order (APP-203).		
G3.1:	Requirement 13 and 15 of the draft DCO (C1.1: Draft	TBC	Awaiting position from
4.13	DCO (APP-203)) is sufficient to secure the mitigation		ERYC
	measures described in F2.6: Outline Onshore		
	Infrastructure Drainage Strategy (APP-241).		
G3.1:	F2.2 Outline Code of Construction Practice (APP-237)	TBC	Awaiting position from
4.14	includes all relevant mitigation measures specified in		ERYC
	Volume A3, Chapter 2: Hydrology and Flood Risk (APP-		
	026) and is appropriate for managing construction and		
	post construction impacts from Hornsea Four on		
	hydrology and flood risk receptors landward of MLWS.		
	Volume A4, Annex 5.2: Commitments Register (APP-		
	050) includes a commitment (Co124) to produce a CoCP		
	in accordance with the Outline CoCP which is secured via		
	Requirement 17 of C1.1: Draft Development Consent		
	Order (APP-203).		
G3.1:	The application and modification of legislative	TBC	Awaiting position from
4.15	provisions, as set out in of C1.1: Draft Development		ERYC
	Consent Order (APP-203), in the context of the		
	disapplication of Environmental Permitting (England and		
	Wales) 2016 is considered appropriate.		



3.1.6 Ecology and Nature Conservation

Table 6: Agreement Log: Ecology and Nature Conservation.

ID	Statement on which agreement is sought	Position	Commentary
EIA – P	olicy and planning		
G3.1:	Volume A3, Chapter 3: Ecology and Nature	TBC	Awaiting position from
5.1	Conservation (APP-027) has identified all relevant plans		ERYC
	and policies and appropriate consideration has been		
	given to them in the assessment.		
EIA – B	aseline Environment		
G3.1:	The ES adequately defines the baseline environment	TBC	Awaiting position from
5.2	relevant to Ecology and Nature Conservation in Section		ERYC
	3.7; Volume A3, Chapter 3: Ecology and Nature		
	Conservation (APP-027) to inform the EIA.		
EIA – A	ssessment Methodology		·
G3.1:	The study areas identified in Section 3.5 of Volume A3,	TBC	Awaiting position from
5.3	Chapter 3: Ecology and Nature Conservation (APP-027)		ERYC
	are appropriate.		
G3.1:	The maximum design scenarios identified and outlined,	TBC	Awaiting position from
5.4	where relevant, for each impact in Section 3.9 of Volume		ERYC
	A3, Chapter 3: Ecology and Nature Conservation (APP-		
	027), and in the 'Ecology and Nature Conservation' tab of		
	Volume A4, Annex 5.1: Impacts Register (APP-049)		
	represent the maximum project parameters for		
	assessment.		
G3.1:	The potential impacts identified in Table 3.13 and	TBC	Awaiting position from
5.5	Section 3.11 of Volume A3, Chapter 3: Ecology and		ERYC
	Nature Conservation (APP-027), and in the 'Ecology and		
	Nature Conservation' tab of Volume A4, Annex 5.1:		
	Impacts Register (APP-049), represent a comprehensive		
	list of the potential impacts.		
G3.1:	The methodologies used in Section 3.10 of Volume A3,	TBC	Awaiting position from
5.6	Chapter 3: Ecology and Nature Conservation (APP-027)		ERYC
	are appropriate for assessing the potential impacts of		
	Hornsea Four.		
EIA – A	ssessment Conclusions		
G3.1:	The conclusion that no LSE was identified at Scoping (or	TBC	Awaiting position from
5.7	during subsequent correspondence with ecology and		ERYC
	nature conservation stakeholders) for impacts ENC-C-7		
	(impacts on white clawed crayfish and fish, construction),		
	ENC-C-10 (accidental release of pollution, construction),		
	ENC-O-12 (habitat degradation from operation and		
	maintenance of onshore ECC), ENC-O-13 (impacts on		
	protected species from operation and maintenance of		
	onshore ECC), ENC-O-15 (accidental release of pollution,		



ID	Statement on which agreement is sought	Position	Commentary
	operation), ENC-D-16 (impacts on habitats of		
	decommissioning of onshore ECC), ENC-D-19 (accidental		
	release of pollutants, decommissioning), and not being		
	significant in EIA terms, which resulted in these potential		
	impacts being 'Scoped out' of further assessment or 'not		
	considered in detail in the ES', is appropriate.		
G3.1:	The conclusion that no LSE was identified for ENC-C-2	ТВС	Awaiting position from
5.8	(impacts on designated sites, construction), ENC-C-8		ERYC
	(impacts on reptiles, construction), ENC-D-17		
	(decommissioning onshore substation on habitats) at		
	PEIR, and not being significant in EIA terms, and were		
	therefore not considered in detail in the ES, is		
	appropriate.		
53.1:	The conclusion that impacts ENC-C-1, ENC-C-3, ENC-C-4,	TBC	Awaiting position from
5.9	ENC-C-5, ENC-C-6, ENC-C-9, ENC-O-11, ENC-O-14, ENC-		ERYC
	D-18 assessed within Volume A3 Chapter 3: Ecology and		
	Nature Conservation (APP-027) are not considered to be		
	significant in EIA terms is appropriate when considered		
	alongside the commitments in Table 3.14 and where		
	relevant, identified further mitigation measures.		
G3.1:	The conclusions of the CEA on ecology and nature	TBC	Awaiting position from
5.10	conservation presented in Section 3.12 and inter-related		ERYC
	effects in Section 3.14 of Volume A3, Chapter 3: Ecology		
	and Nature Conservation (APP-027), are appropriate.		
Draft D	CO / Outline Management Plans / Mitigation and Monitoring		·
G3.1:	Requirement 8, 10 and 19 of the draft DCO (C1.1: Draft	TBC	Awaiting position from
5.11	DCO (APP-203)) is sufficient to secure the mitigation		ERYC
	measures described in F2,.3: Outline Ecological		
	Management Plan (APP-238) and F2.8: Outline		
	Landscape Management Plan (APP-243).		
G3.1:	F2.3 Outline Ecological Management Plan (APP-238)	TBC	Awaiting position from
5.12	includes all relevant mitigation measures specified in		ERYC
	Volume A3, Chapter 3: Ecology and Nature		
	Conservation (APP-027) and is appropriate for managing		
	construction and post construction impacts from Hornsea		
	Four on ecology and nature conservation receptors		
	landward of MHWS.		
	Volume A4, Annex 5.2: Commitments Register (APP-		
	050) includes a commitment (Co168) to produce an EMP		
	in accordance with the Outline EMP which is secured via		
	Requirement 10 of C1.1: Draft DCO (APP-203).		
G3.1:	F2.2 Outline Code of Construction Practice (APP-237)	TBC	Awaiting position from
5.13	includes all relevant mitigation measures specified in		ERYC
	Volume A3, Chapter 3: Ecology and Nature		



ID	Statement on which agreement is sought	Position	Commentary
	Conservation (APP-027) and is appropriate for managing		
	construction and post construction impacts from Hornsea		
	Four on ecology and nature conservation receptors		
	landward of MLWS.		
	Volume A4, Annex 5.2: Commitments Register (APP-		
	050) includes a commitment (Co124) to produce a CoCP		
	in accordance with the Outline CoCP which is secured via		
	Requirement 17 of C1.1: Draft DCO (APP-203).		
G3.1:	The biodiversity net gain measures set out in F2.16:	TBC	Awaiting position from
5.14	Outline Net Gain Strategy (APP-251) are sufficiently		ERYC
	comprehensive and appropriate.		

3.1.7 Landscape and Visual

Table 7: Agreement Log: Landscape and Visual.

ID	Statement on which agreement is sought	Position	Commentary
EIA – Po	licy and planning		
G3.1: 6.1	Volume A3, Chapter 4: Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment (APP-028) has identified all relevant plans and policies and appropriate consideration has been given to them in the assessment.	TBC	Awaiting position from ERYC
EIA – Ba	seline Environment		
G3.1: 6.2	The ES adequately defines the baseline environment relevant to landscape and Visual in Section 4.7, Volume A3, Chapter 4: Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment (APP-028), to inform the EIA.	TBC	Awaiting position from ERYC
EIA – As	sessment Methodology		
G3.1: 6.3	The study areas identified in Section 4.5 of Volume A3, Chapter 4: Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment (APP-028), are appropriate.	TBC	Awaiting position from ERYC
G3.1: 6.4	The maximum design scenarios identified and outlined, where relevant, for each impact in Section 4.9 of Volume A3, Chapter 4: Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment (APP-028), and in the 'landscape and Visual' tab of Volume A4, Annex 5.1: Impacts Register (APP-049), represent the maximum project parameters for assessment.	TBC	Awaiting position from ERYC
G3.1:	The potential impacts identified in Table 4.10 and	ТВС	Awaiting position from
6.5	Section 4.11 of Volume A3, Chapter 4: Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment (APP-028), and in the 'Landscape and Visual' tab of Volume A4, Annex 5.1:		ERYC



ID	Statement on which agreement is sought	Position	Commentary
	Impacts Register (APP-049), represent a comprehensive		
	list of the potential impacts.		
33.1:	The methodologies used in Section 4.10 of Volume A3,	TBC	Awaiting position from
5.6	Chapter 4: Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment		ERYC
	(APP-028) are appropriate for assessing the potential		
	impacts of Hornsea Four.		
G3.1:	The photomontages and wireframes presented in	TBC	Awaiting position from
5.7	Volume A4, Annex 4.1: Landscape and Visual Resources		ERYC
	Wireframes and Photomontages (APP-115)		
	appropriately inform the Landscape and Visual Impact		
	Assessment for the OnSS.		
EIA – As	ssessment Conclusions		
G3.1:	The conclusion that no LSE was identified at Scoping (or	TBC	Awaiting position from
5.8	during subsequent correspondence with stakeholders) for		ERYC
	impacts LV-O-3, LV-D-6 and LV-O-2 (operational impacts		
	associated with the landfall and onshore ECC and		
	decommissioning impacts for the entire project)) resulted		
	in these potential impacts being 'Scoped out' or 'not		
	considered in detail' in the PEIR and ES. This is		
	appropriate.		
G3.1:	The conclusion that no LSE was identified at PEIR for	TBC	Awaiting position from
6.9	impact LV-C-1(temporary change to views at landfall		ERYC
	and onshore ECC from construction activities)) resulted in		
	the potential impact being 'not considered in detail in the		
	ES'. This is appropriate.		
G3.1:	The assessment of potential effects in Volume A3,	TBC	Awaiting position from
5.10	Chapter 4: Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment		ERYC
	(APP-028) is appropriate and proportionate and identifies		
	the likely significant effects from Hornsea Four.		
Draft D	CO / Outline Management Plans / Mitigation and Monitoring		
G3.1:	The measures set out in F2.8: Outline Landscape	TBC	Awaiting position from
6.11	Management Plan (APP-243) and F2.13: Outline Design		ERYC
	Pan (APP-248) are appropriate and adequately mitigate		
	likely significant effects identified in Volume A3, Chapter		
	4: Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment (APP-028)		
	where possible.		
G3.1:	Requirement 8 of the draft DCO (C1.1: Draft DCO (APP-	TBC	Awaiting position from
6.12	203)) is sufficient to secure the mitigation measures		ERYC
	described in F2.8: Outline Landscape Management Plan		
	(APP-243).		



3.1.8 Historic Environment

Table 8: Agreement Log: Historic Environment.

ID	Statement on which agreement is sought	Position	Commentary
EIA – Po	olicy and planning		
G3.1:	Volume A3, Chapter 5: Historic Environment (APP-029)	Agreed	Agreed with ERYC and
7.1	has identified all relevant plans and policies and		the Applicant.
	appropriate consideration has been given to them in the		
	assessment.		
EIA – Bo	aseline Environment		
G3.1:	The ES adequately defines the baseline environment	Agreed	Agreed with ERYC and
7.2	relevant to Historic Environment in Section 5.7, Volume		the Applicant.
	A3, Chapter 5: Historic Environment (APP-029), to inform		
	the EIA.		
EIA – As	ssessment Methodology		
G3.1:	The study areas identified in Section 5.5 of Volume A3,	Agreed	Agreed with ERYC and
7.3	Chapter 5: Historic Environment (APP-029), are		the Applicant.
	appropriate.		
G3.1:	The maximum design scenarios identified and outlined,	Area for ongoing	Agreed in principal
7.4	where relevant, for each impact in Section 5.9 of Volume	discussion	during meeting, but to
	A3, Chapter 5: Historic Environment (APP-029), and in		be confirmed upon once
	the 'Historic Environment' tab of Volume A4, Annex 5.1:		review of the chapter
	Impacts Register (APP-049), represent the maximum		and Impact register has
	project parameters for assessment.		been completed.
G3.1:	The potential impacts identified in Table 5.6 and Section	Area for ongoing	Agreed in principal
7.5	5.11 of Volume A3, Chapter 5: Historic Environment	discussion	during meeting, but to
	(APP-029), and in the 'Historic Environment' tab of		be confirmed upon once
	Volume A4, Annex 5.1: Impacts Register (APP-049),		review of the chapter
	represent a comprehensive list of the potential impacts.		and Impact register has
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		been completed.
G3.1:	The methodologies used in Section 5.10 of Volume A3,	Agreed	Agreed with ERYC and
7.6	Chapter 5: Historic Environment (APP-029) are	9	the Applicant.
	appropriate for assessing the potential impacts of		
	Hornsea Four.		
EIA – As	ssessment Conclusions		
G3.1:	The conclusion that no LSE was identified at Scoping (or	Agreed	Agreed with ERYC and
7.7	during subsequent correspondence with historic	7.9.000	the Applicant.
	environment stakeholders) for impacts HE-D-7, HE-D-8,		
	HE-D-9 and HE-D-10 (all impacts during decommissioning)		
	resulted in these potential impacts being 'Scoped out' or		
	'not considered in detail' of further assessment in the PEIR		
	and ES. This is appropriate.		
G3.1:	The conclusion that no LSE was identified at PEIR for	N/A	This agreement is
7.8	impacts HE-C-2, HE-C-4, HE-O-5 and HE-O-6 (all 'indirect'		considered by ERYC to
	impacts resulted in these potential impacts being 'not		Considered by Livi C to



ID	Statement on which agreement is sought	Position	Commentary
	considered in detail in the ES' and are instead considered		be more relevant for
	further in Volume A6, Annex 5.1: Historic Environment		Historic England.
	Desk Based Assessment (APP-116 and APP-117). This is		
	appropriate.		
G3.1:	The assessment of potential effects on Historic	Area for ongoing	Agreed with ERYC and
7.9	Environment in Volume A3, Chapter 5: Historic	discussion	the Applicant. This
	Environment (APP-029) is appropriate and proportionate		position is subject to the
	and identifies the likely significant effects from Hornsea		assumption there have
	Four.		been no significant
			changes since PEIR and
			subject to review of the
			chapter and Impact
			register
Draft D	CO / Outline Management Plans / Mitigation and Monitoring		
G3.1:	The measures described in F2.10: Outline Written	Agreed	Agreed in principal –
7.10	Scheme of Investigation for Onshore Archaeology (APP-		subject to review of the
	245) are appropriate and adequately mitigate likely		final outline WSI.
	significant effects identified in Volume A3, Chapter 5:		
	Historic Environment (APP-029)		
G3.1:	Requirement 16 of the draft DCO (C1.1: Draft DCO (APP-	Agreed	Agreed with ERYC and
7.11	203)) is sufficient to secure the mitigation measures		the Applicant.
	described in F2.10: Outline Written Scheme of		
	Investigation for Onshore Archaeology (APP-245).		

3.1.9 Land Use and Agriculture

Table 9: Agreement Log: Land Use and Agriculture.

ID	Statement on which agreement is sought	Position	Commentary
EIA – Po	licy and planning		
G3.1:	Volume A3, Chapter 6: Land Use and Agriculture (APP-	TBC	Awaiting position from
8.1	030) has identified all relevant plans and policies and		ERYC
	appropriate consideration has been given to them in the		
	assessment.		
EIA – Ba	seline Environment		
G3.1:	The ES adequately defines the baseline environment	TBC	Awaiting position from
8.2	relevant to Land Use and Agriculture in Section 6.7;		ERYC
	Volume A3, Chapter 6: Land Use and Agriculture (APP-		
	030) to inform the EIA.		
EIA – As	sessment Methodology		
G3.1:	The study areas identified in Section 6.5 of Volume A3,	TBC	Awaiting position from
8.3	Chapter 6: Land Use and Agriculture (APP-030) are		ERYC
	appropriate.		



G3.1:	The maximum design scenarios identified and outlined,	TBC	Awaiting position from
3.4	where relevant, for each impact in Section 6.9 of Volume		ERYC
	A3, Chapter 6: Land Use and Agriculture (APP-030), and		
	in the 'Land Use and Agriculture' tab of Volume A4,		
	Annex 5.1: Impacts Register (APP-049), represent the		
	maximum project parameters for assessment.		
53.1:	The potential impacts identified in Table 6.12and Section	TBC	Awaiting position from
.5	6.11 of Volume A3, Chapter 6: Land Use and Agriculture		ERYC
	(APP-030), and in the 'Land Use and Agriculture' tab of		
	Volume A4, Annex 5.1: Impacts Register (APP-049),		
	represent a comprehensive list of the potential impacts.		
33.1:	The methodologies used in Section 6.10 of Volume A3,	TBC	Awaiting position from
.6	Chapter 6: Land Use and Agriculture (APP-030) are		ERYC
	appropriate for assessing the potential impacts of		
	Hornsea Four.		
IA – As	sessment Conclusions		
33.1:	The conclusion that no LSE was identified at Scoping (or	TBC	Awaiting position from
3.7	during subsequent correspondence with ecology and		ERYC
	nature conservation stakeholders) for impacts LUA-O-6		
	(disruption of land, operation) and LUA-D-7 (disruption of		
	land, decommissioning), and not being significant in EIA		
	terms, which resulted in these potential impacts being		
	'Scoped out' of further assessment or 'not considered in		
	detail in the ES', is appropriate.		
53.1:	The conclusion that no LSE was identified for LUA-C-2	TBC	Awaiting position from
3.8	(impacts on coastal recreation, construction), LUA-C-3		ERYC
	(impacts on recreation and amenity, construction), LUA-		
	C-4 (impacts on National Cycle network routes and other		
	PRoWs, construction), LUA-O-5 (impacts on National		
	Cycle network routes and other PRoWs, operation) at		
	PEIR, and not being significant in EIA terms, and were		
	therefore not considered in detail in the ES, is		
	appropriate.		
53.1:	The conclusion that impact LUA-C-1 assessed within	TBC	Awaiting position from
3.9	Volume A3, Chapter 6: Land Use and Agriculture (APP-		ERYC
	030) is not considered to be significant in EIA terms is		
	appropriate when considered alongside the		
	commitments in Table 6.13 and where relevant,		
	identified further mitigation measures.		
53.1:	The conclusions of the CEA on Land Use and Agriculture	TBC	Awaiting position from
3.10	presented in Section 6.12 and inter-related effects in		ERYC
	Section 6.14 of Volume A3, Chapter 6: Land Use and		
	Agriculture (APP-030), are appropriate.		
raft D	CO / Outline Management Plans / Mitigation and Monitoring		
3.1:	The management measures for PRoWs as set out in the	ТВС	Awaiting position from
3.11	Outline Public Right of Way Management Plan (which	I	ERYC



	forms Appendix C or F2.2: Outline Code of Construction		
	Practice (APP-237)) are appropriate. This includes the		
	stopping up and permanent diversion of PRoWs.		
G3.1:	F2.2 Outline Code of Construction Practice (CoCP)	TBC	Awaiting position from
8.12	includes all relevant mitigation measures specified in		ERYC
	Volume A3, Chapter 6: Land Use and Agriculture (APP-		
	030) and is appropriate for managing construction and		
	post construction impacts from Hornsea Four on Land		
	Use and Agriculture receptors landward of MLWS. This		
	includes the content of Appendix A: Outline Soil		
	Management Strategy and Appendix C: Outline Public		
	Right of Way Management Plan.		
	Volume A4, Annex 5.2: Commitments Register (APP-		
	050) includes a commitment (Co124) to produce a CoCP		
	in accordance with the Outline CoCP which is secured via		
	Requirement 17 of C1.1: Draft DCO (APP-203).		

3.1.10 Traffic and Transport

Table 10: Agreement Log: Traffic and Transport.

	and the second s		
D	Statement on which agreement is sought	Position	Commentary
Hornsea I	Four Design		
G3.1:	The temporary construction access locations proposed	Agreed	Agreed with ERYC and
9.1	by Hornsea Four during construction (identified on Figure		the Applicant.
	11 of Volume A6, Annex 7.1: Traffic and Transport (APP-		
	031)) are appropriate.		
G3.1:	The location and design of the OnSS permanent access	Agreed	Agreed with ERYC and
9.2	road, off the A1079 (identified on Figure 11 and Appendix		the Applicant.
	L of Volume A6, Annex 7.1: Traffic and Transport		
	Technical Report (APP-125)), to be used during		
	construction and operation and maintenance, is		
	appropriate.		
G3.1:	The location of the Primary Logistics compound,	Agreed	Agreed with ERYC and
9.3	adjacent to the A164 and Station Road, to the east of		the Applicant.
	Lockington, is acceptable. The traffic and transport		
	assessment undertaken for Station Road (link ID 43) has		
	demonstrated that no significant adverse effects will		
	occur and this is appropriate.		
EIA – Pol	icy and planning		
G3.1:	Volume A3, Chapter 7: Traffic and Transport (APP-031)	Agreed	Agreed with ERYC and
9.4	has identified all relevant plans and policies and		the Applicant.
	appropriate consideration has been given to them in the		
	assessment.		
EIA – Bas	seline Environment		



ID	Statement on which agreement is sought	Position	Commentary
G3.1:	The ES adequately defines the baseline environment	Agreed	Agreed with ERYC and
0.5	relevant to Traffic and Transport in Volume A3, Chapter		the Applicant.
	7: Traffic and Transport (APP-031), to inform the EIA.		
3.1:	The future baseline identified in Volume A3, Chapter 7:	Agreed	Agreed with ERYC and
.6	Traffic and Transport (APP-031) is considered		the Applicant.
	appropriate.		
IA – As	ssessment Methodology		
3.1:	The study area identified in Section 7.5 of Volume A3,	Agreed	Agreed with ERYC and
.7	Chapter 7: Traffic and Transport (APP-031), is		the Applicant.
	appropriate.		
3.1:	The maximum design scenarios identified and outlined,	Agreed	Agreed with ERYC and
.8	where relevant, for each impact in Section 7.9 and Table		the Applicant.
	7.13 of Volume A3, Chapter 7: Traffic and Transport		pp
	(APP-031), and in the 'Traffic and Transport' tab of		
	Volume A4, Annex 5.1: Impacts Register (APP-049),		
	represent the maximum project parameters for		
	assessment. This represents the peak HGV, LCV and		
	employee traffic generation for Hornsea Four.		
i3.1:	The potential impacts identified in Table 7.11 and	Agreed	Agreed with ERYC and
.9	Section 7.11 of Volume A3, Chapter 7: Traffic and	7.19.000	the Applicant.
	Transport (APP-031), and in the 'Traffic and Transport'		are repaired.
	tab of Volume A4, Annex 5.1: Impacts Register (APP-		
	049), represent a comprehensive list of the potential		
	impacts.		
 i3.1:	The definitions for 'magnitude' and 'sensitivity, as outlined	Agreed	Agreed with ERYC and
.10	in Section 7.10 of Volume A3, Chapter 7: Traffic and	rigiced	the Applicant.
.10	Transport (APP-031), are appropriate.		the Applicant.
3.1:	The origin and distribution of HGV and LCV movements	Agreed	Agreed with ERYC and
.11	and employee traffic used to inform impact assessments	Agreed	the Applicant.
	in Volume A3, Chapter 7: Traffic and Transport (APP-		тте друшенти.
	031), is appropriate and represents a Maximum Design		
	Scenario.		
3.1:	Consideration of the routeing of Abnormal Indivisible	Agreed	Agreed with ERYC and
.12	Loads (AIL) associated with the OnSS is presented in	Agreed	the Applicant.
.12	Volume A6, Annex 7.1: Abnormal Load Report (APP-		тте Аррисите.
	126)		
	120).		
	The movement of AILs will be subject to separate		
	agreement with the relevant highway authorities and		
	police through the Electronic Service Delivery for		
	Abnormal Loads system.		
IΛ Λ:			
	sessment Conclusions	A	A succeeded SDVC
3.1:	The conclusion is appropriate that no likely significant	Agreed	Agreed with ERYC and
0.13	effect was identified at Scoping for impacts TT-C-1		the Applicant.
	(movement of offshore project components on road		



ID	Statement on which agreement is sought	Position	Commentary
	network), TT-O-10 (operation and maintenance) and TT-		
	D-11 (decommissioning) and resulted in these potential		
	impacts being 'Scoped out' or 'not considered in detail in		
	the ES', is appropriate.		
G3.1:	The assessments TT-C- 2 (driver delay (capacity)) and TT-	Agreed	Agreed with ERYC and
9.14	C-12 (cumulative effects) are not considered in the ES as		the Applicant.
	they will be addressed post-determination within the		
	final CTMP, secured under Requirement 18 of the draft		
	DCO (C1.1: Draft DCO (APP-203)). This is considered		
	appropriate.		
33.1:	The assessment of potential effects on the local highway	Agreed	Agreed with ERYC and
9.15	network in Volume A3, Chapter 7: Traffic and Transport		the Applicant.
	(APP-031) is appropriate and proportionate and identifies		
	the likely significant effects from Hornsea Four.		
Draft D	CO / Outline Management Plans / Mitigation and Monitoring		
G3.1:	The measures described in the oCTMP (which forms	Agreed	Agreed with ERYC and
9.16	Appendix F of F2.2: Outline Code of Construction		the Applicant.
	Practice (APP-237)) are appropriate and adequately		
	mitigate likely significant effects identified in Volume A3,		
	Chapter 7: Traffic and Transport (APP-031) and in the		
	'Traffic and Transport' tab of Volume A4, Annex 5.1:		
	Impacts Register (APP-049). Further detail and site-		
	specific measures will be agreed with ERYC in the final		
	CTMP secured under Requirement 18 of the draft DCO		
	(C1.1: Draft DCO (APP-203))		
G3.1:	The access concept designs set out in the oCTMP (which	Agreed	Agreed with ERYC and
9.17	forms Appendix F of F2.2: Outline Code of Construction		the Applicant.
	Practice (APP-237)) are appropriate. Detailed access		
	designs will be developed and agreed with ERYC prior to		
	the start of construction, secured under Requirement 11		
	of the draft DCO (C1.1: Draft DCO (APP-203)).		
G3.1:	The oCTMP (which forms Appendix F of F2.2: Outline	Agreed	Agreed with ERYC and
9.18	Code of Construction Practice (APP-237)) provides the		the Applicant.
	adequate framework to secure necessary mitigation		
	measures to facilitate the removal of impacts TT-C- 2		
	(driver delay (capacity)) and TT-C-12 (cumulative effects)		
	from consideration in the EIA.		
G3.1:	The monitoring procedures set out in the oCTMP (which	Agreed	Agreed with ERYC and
9.19	forms Appendix F of F2.2: Outline Code of Construction		the Applicant.
	Practice (APP-237)) are appropriate and comprehensive.		h.h



3.1.11 Noise and Vibration

Table 11: Agreement Log: Noise and Vibration.

ID	Statement on which agreement is sought	Position	Commentary
EIA – P	olicy and planning		
G3.1:	Volume A3, Chapter 8: Noise and Vibration (APP-032)	TBC	Awaiting position from
10.1	has identified all relevant plans and policies and		ERYC
	appropriate consideration has been given to them in the		
	assessment.		
EIA – B	aseline Environment		
G3.1:	The ES adequately defines the baseline environment	TBC	Awaiting position from
10.2	relevant to Noise and Vibration in Section 8.7, Volume		ERYC
	A3, Chapter 8: Noise and Vibration (APP-032), to inform		
	the EIA.		
EIA – A	ssessment Methodology		
G3.1:	The study areas identified in Section 8.5 of Volume A3,	TBC	Awaiting position from
10.3	Chapter 8: Noise and Vibration (APP-032), are		ERYC
	appropriate.		
G3.1:	The maximum design scenarios identified and outlined,	TBC	Awaiting position from
10.4	where relevant, for each impact in Section 8.9 of Volume		ERYC
	A3, Chapter 8: Noise and Vibration (APP-032), and in the		
	'Noise and Vibration' tab of Volume A4, Annex 5.1:		
	Impacts Register (APP-049), represent the maximum		
	project parameters for assessment.		
G3.1:	The potential impacts identified in Table 8.16 and	TBC	Awaiting position from
10.5	Section 8.11 of Volume A3, Chapter 8: Noise and		ERYC
	Vibration (APP-032), and in the 'Noise and Vibration' tab		
	of Volume A4, Annex 5.1: Impacts Register (APP-049),		
	represent a comprehensive list of the potential impacts.		
G3.1:	The methodologies used in Section 8.10 of Volume A3,	TBC	Awaiting position from
10.6	Chapter 8: Noise and Vibration (APP-032) are		ERYC
	appropriate for assessing the potential impacts of		
	Hornsea Four.		
EIA – A	ssessment Conclusions		
G3.1:	The conclusion that no LSE was identified at Scoping (or	TBC	Awaiting position from
10.7	during subsequent correspondence with ERYC) for		ERYC
	impacts NV-C-1 (noise and vibration from onshore cable		
	installation), NV-O-9 (buried cables noise), NV-O-10		
	(operational traffic noise), NV-O-11 (routine maintenance		
	noise), NV-O-12 (operational vibration), NV-D-14 (noise		
	and vibration from plant during decommissioning of cable		
	route), NV-C-5 (haul road construction noise and		
	vibration), NV-O-13 (offshore HVAC booster station noise)		
	and NV-D-15 (noise and vibration from plant during		
	decommissioning of onshore substation) resulted in these		



ID	Statement on which agreement is sought	Position	Commentary
	potential impacts being 'Scoped out' of further		
	assessment in the PEIR and ES. This is appropriate.		
G3.1:	The conclusion that no LSE was identified at PEIR for	TBC	Awaiting position from
10.8	impacts NV-C-2 (noise and vibration from HDD works		ERYC
	alone cable route), NV-C-4 (joint bay construction noise),		
	NV-C-6 (onshore substation construction noise) and NV-		
	O-8 (operational noise from the onshore substation)		
	resulted in these potential impacts being 'not considered		
	in detail in the ES'. This is appropriate.		
G3.1:	The assessment of potential effects in Volume A3,	TBC	Awaiting position from
10.9	Chapter 8: Noise and Vibration (APP-032) (Section 8.11)		ERYC
	is appropriate and proportionate and identifies the likely		
	significant effects from Hornsea Four.		
G3.1:	The cumulative effect assessment and inter-related	TBC	Awaiting position from
10.10	effects in Volume A3, Chapter 8: Noise and Vibration		ERYC
	(APP-032) (Sections 8.12 and 8.14) is appropriate and		
	proportionate and identifies the likely significant effects		
	from Hornsea Four.		
Draft D	CO / Outline Management Plans / Mitigation and Monitoring		
G3.1:	The mitigation measures outlined in Volume A3, Chapter	TBC	Awaiting position from
10.11	8: Noise and Vibration (APP-032), and in the 'Noise and		ERYC
	Vibration' tab of Volume A4, Annex 5.1: Impacts Register		
	(APP-049) are appropriate and mitigate potentially		
	significant effects to acceptable levels.		
G3.1:	The measures set out in F2.2: Outline Code of	TBC	Awaiting position from
10.12	Construction Practice (APP-237) and the oCTMP (which		ERYC
	forms Appendix F of the outline CoCP) are appropriate		
	and adequately mitigate likely significant effects		
	identified in Volume A3, Chapter 8: Noise and Vibration		
	(APP-032) and in the 'Noise and Vibration' tab of Volume		
	A4, Annex 5.1: Impacts Register (APP-049). These		
	documents will form the basis of the detailed CoCP and		
	CTMP secured under Requirement 17 and 18 of the draft		
	DCO (C1.1: Draft DCO (APP-203)).		
G3.1:	The indicative onshore substation operational noise	TBC	Awaiting position from
10.13	mitigation measures outlined in F2.13: Outline Design		ERYC
	Plan (APP-248) is appropriate and will inform the		
	detailed Design Plan to be submitted under Requirement		
	7 of the DCO.		
G3.1:	DCO Requirement 21 (Control of noise during operational	TBC	Awaiting position from
10.14	phase) is appropriate and secures necessary mitigation		ERYC
	measures through a noise management plan.		



3.1.12 Air Quality

Table 12: Agreement Log: Air Quality.

ID	Statement on which agreement is sought	Position	Commentary
EIA – P	olicy and planning		
G3.1:	Volume A3, Chapter 9: Air Quality (APP-033) has	Agreed	Agreed with ERYC and
11.1	identified all relevant plans and policies and appropriate		the Applicant.
	consideration has been given to them in the assessment.		
EIA – B	așeline Environment		
G3.1:	The ES adequately defines the baseline environment	Agreed	Agreed with ERYC and
11.2	relevant to Air Quality in the jurisdiction of ERYC in		the Applicant.
	Volume A3, Chapter 9: Air Quality (APP-033), to inform		
	the EIA.		
G3.1:	The future baseline identified in Volume A3, Chapter 9:	Agreed	Agreed with ERYC and
11.3	Air Quality (APP-033) is considered appropriate.		the Applicant. ERYC
			note that at the time of
			DCO application there
			will be further
			monitoring data
			available, but there is no
			expectation to be
			incorporated into the
			assessment.
EIA – A	ssessment Methodology		
G3.1:	The study areas identified in the jurisdiction of ERYC in	Agreed	Agreed with ERYC and
11.4	Section 9.5 of Volume A3, Chapter 9: Air Quality (APP-		the Applicant.
	033), are appropriate.		
G3.1:	The maximum design scenarios identified and outlined,	Agreed	Agreed with ERYC and
11.5	where relevant, for each impact in Section 9.8 of Volume		the Applicant.
	A3, Chapter 9: Air Quality (APP-033), and in the 'Air		
	Quality' tab of Volume A4, Annex 5.1: Impacts Register		
	(APP-049), represent the maximum project parameters		
	for assessment.		
G3.1:	The potential impacts identified in Table 9.9 and Section	Agreed	Agreed with ERYC and
11.6	9.11 of Volume A3, Chapter 9: Air Quality (APP-033),		the Applicant.
	and in the 'Air Quality' tab of Volume A4, Annex 5.1:		
	Impacts Register (APP-049), represent a comprehensive		
	list of the potential impacts.		
G3.1:	The methodologies used in Section 9.10 of Volume A3,	Agreed	Agreed with ERYC and
11.7	Chapter 9: Air Quality (APP-033) are appropriate for		the Applicant.
	assessing the potential impacts of Hornsea Four.		
	ssessment Conclusions		
G3.1:	The conclusion that no LSE was identified at Scoping (or	Agreed	Agreed with ERYC and
11.8	during subsequent correspondence with ERYC) for		the Applicant.
	impacts AQ-O-4 (emissions from facilities during		



ID	Statement on which agreement is sought	Position	Commentary
	operation), AQ-O-3 (dust and exhaust emissions from		
	traffic during operation) and AQ-D-5 (dust generation		
	during decommissioning) resulted in these potential		
	impacts being 'Scoped out' of further assessment in the		
	PEIR and ES. This is appropriate.		
G3.1:	The conclusion that no LSE was identified at PEIR for	Agreed	Agreed with ERYC and
11.9	impacts AQ-C-1 (dust generation during onshore		the Applicant.
	construction) and AQ-A-2a (dust generation and exhaust		
	emissions from traffic during operation within ERYC's area		
	of jurisdiction) resulted in these potential impacts being		
	'not considered in detail in the ES'. This is appropriate.		
G3.1:	The assessment of potential effects in Volume A3,	Agreed	Agreed with ERYC and
11.10	Chapter 9: Air Quality (APP-033) (Section 9.11) is		the Applicant.
	appropriate and proportionate and identifies the likely		
	significant effects from Hornsea Four.		
G3.1:	The cumulative effect assessment and inter-related	Agreed	Agreed with ERYC and
11.11	effects in Volume A3, Chapter 9: Air Quality (APP-033)		the Applicant. ERYC
	(sections 9.12 and 9.14) is appropriate and proportionate		note that additional
	and identifies the likely significant effects from Hornsea		projects may be
	Four.		identified at the time of
			application and
			therefore may need
			consideration.
Draft D	CO / Outline Management Plans / Mitigation and Monitoring		
G3.1:	The mitigation measures outlined in Volume A3, Chapter	Agreed	Agreed with ERYC and
11.12	9: Air Quality (APP-033), and in the 'Air Quality' tab of		the Applicant.
	Volume A4, Annex 5.1: Impacts Register (APP-049) are		
	appropriate and mitigate potentially significant effects		
	to acceptable levels.		
G3.1:	The measures described in F2.2: Outline Code of	Area for ongoing	ERYC agrees with the
11.13	Construction Practice (APP-237) and the oCTMP (which	discussion	mitigation proposed for
	forms Appendix F of the outline CoCP) are appropriate		Air Quality but has not
	and adequately mitigate likely significant effects		had sight of the Outline
	identified in Volume A3, Chapter 9: Air Quality (APP-033)		CoCP and will need sigh
	and in the 'Air Quality' tab of Volume A4, Annex 5.1:		of this to ensure it is
	Impacts Register (APP-049). These documents will form		sufficient.
	the basis of the detailed CoCP and CTMP secured under		
	Requirement 17 and 18 of the draft DCO (C1.1: Draft		



3.1.13 Socio-economics

Table 13: Agreement Log: Socio-economics.

ID	Statement on which agreement is sought	Position	Commentary
EIA – Po	olicy and planning	_	
G3.1:	Volume A3, Chapter 10: Socio-economics (APP-034) has	TBC	Awaiting position from
12.1	identified all relevant plans and policies and appropriate		ERYC
	consideration has been given to them in the assessment.		
EIA – Bo	aseline Environment	_	
G3.1:	The ES adequately defines the baseline environment	TBC	Awaiting position from
12.2	relevant to socio-economics in Section 10.7, Volume A3,		ERYC
	Chapter 10: Socio-economics (APP-034) and supporting		
	technical annex (APP-128), to inform the EIA.		
EIA – A	ssessment Methodology		
G3.1:	The study areas identified in Section 10.5 of Volume A3,	TBC	Awaiting position from
12.3	Chapter 10: Socio-economics (APP-034), are		ERYC
	appropriate.		
G3.1:	The justification for why no maximum design scenario is	TBC	Awaiting position from ERYC
12.4	appropriate for assessment, as set out in Section 10.9 of		
	Volume A3, Chapter 10: Socio-economics (APP-034), and		
	in the 'socio-economics' tab of Volume A4, Annex 5.1:		
	Impacts Register (APP-049), is acceptable and		
	appropriate infromation has been used to inform the		
	assessment.		
G3.1:	The potential impacts identified in Table 10.9 and	TBC	Awaiting position from
12.5	Section 10.11 of Volume A3, Chapter 10: Socio-		ERYC
	economics (APP-034), and in the 'socio-economics' tab of		
	Volume A4, Annex 5.1: Impacts Register (APP-049),		
	represent a comprehensive list of the potential impacts.		
G3.1:	The methodologies used in Section 10.10 of Volume A3,	TBC	Awaiting position from
12.6	Chapter 10: Socio-economics (APP-034) are appropriate		ERYC
	for assessing the potential impacts of Hornsea Four.		
EIA – A	ssessment Conclusions		
G3.1:	The conclusion that no LSE was identified at Scoping (or	TBC	Awaiting position from
12.8	during subsequent correspondence with stakeholders) for		ERYC
	impacts SE-D-7 (decommissioning phase impacts on		
	employment and GVA), SE-A-9 (tourism impacts), SE-A-10		
	(impacts on social services), SE-A-11 (impacts on housing),		
	SE-A-8 (sumulative impacts), resulted in these potential		
	impacts being 'Scoped out' or 'not considered in detail' in		
	the PEIR and ES. This is appropriate.		
G3.1:	The conclusion that no LSE was identified at PEIR for	TBC	Awaiting position from
12.9	impacts SE-C-1 (economic activity, construction), SE-C-2		ERYC
	(employment, construction), SE-O-4 (ecomomic activity,		
	operation) and SE-O-5 (employment, operation) resulted		



ID	Statement on which agreement is sought	Position	Commentary
	in the potential impacts being 'not considered in detail in		
	the ES'. This is appropriate.		
G3.1:	The assessment of potential effects in Volume A3,	TBC	Awaiting position from
12.10	Chapter 10: Socio-economics (APP-034) is appropriate		ERYC
	and proportionate and identifies the likely significant		
	effects from Hornsea Four.		
Draft D	CO / Outline Management Plans / Mitigation and Monitoring		
G3.1:	The measures described in F2.18: Outline Employment	TBC	Awaiting position from
12.11	and Skills Plan are appropriate and provide an adequate		ERYC
	basis for future development with ERYC.		

4 Summary

- 4.1.1.1 This SoCG has outlined the consultation that has taken place between the Applicant and East Riding of Yorkshire Council during the pre-application phase. The agreement logs present the position reached at the point of DCO application between Hornsea Four and East Riding of Yorkshire Council in relation to relevant onshore matters.
- 4.1.1.2 This SoCG will be updated as discussions progress and made available to PINS as requested through the DCO examination phase.